

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,525	09/10/2003	Hitoshi Yoshino	03500.009931.7	5353
5514 FITZPATRICI	7590 04/09/2007 CELLA HARPER & S	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			SCHWARTZ, PAMELA R	
NEW YORK,	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1774	
		•		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4
м
ν

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/658,525	YOSHINO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Pamela R. Schwartz	1774	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Pamela R. Schwartz	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing dat	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 1	706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origer than three months after the mailing day).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, of	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, 	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or 	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	* **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 44-52.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>53</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered b See the comments set forth above	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.		PAMEL A.SC	HWARITZ
		PRIMARY EX	VINIII 4 P. A.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants have not provided the locations in their specification where the new claims are supported. In addition, the amendments to claims 46 and 52 raise new issues. While they incorporate language from pages 44 and 45 of the specification, it is unclear why the language incorporated by applicants is sufficient to overcome the rejections of record. Why does the word "within" distinguish the claimed invention from applicants' admitted prior art and why does it overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112? More explanation is necessary to support applicants' position that this amendment is sufficient since in both mixed and contained systems, titanium dioxide is present in the pores of the alumina hydrate.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejections based upon the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting.